**Part 2:**

It could be better if short descriptions were given under the figures. (weakness)

In 2.2 High Level Architecture, administrator is depicted on the other hand document does not include any description in any other parts. (weakness)

Component interfaces and sequence diagrams were explained in detail. (strength)

**Part 3:**

In algorithm design section in part 3, after pseudo code, giving explanatory diagrams are helpful to understand the algorithm. (strength)

**Part 5:**

In part 5, it could be better if components were explained in detail. For example; tables could be used to give the definition and responsibility of the components. (weakness)

In Requirement Tracability part, it could be better if it was explained how services realized the given goals. (weakness)

**Part 6:**

Integration part was explained in detail. (strength) However, if it was supported by visual figures, it could be easier to understand. (weakness)

The figures that are given to explain the integration of components are not well structured order. It could be better if they were given right after their explanation. (weakness)